BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of)	
HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.)	Docket No. 02-0391
For Approval of Changes in its Depreciation Rates and Approval of)))	
Vintage Amortization Accounting.))	

STIPULATED PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 20810

Filed February 20, 2004

At 12:00 o'clock P.M.

The Consumer Approach of the Commission

Filed February 20, 2004

At 12:00 o'clock P.M.

Chief Clerk of the Commission

ATTEST: A True Copy KAREN HIGASHI

Chief Clerk, Public Utilities Commission, /State of Wawaii

Commission State of Hawaii.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of	
HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, I	NC.) Docket No. 02-0391
For Approval of Changes in its Depreciation Rates and Approval of Vintage Amortization Accounting.))))
STIPULATED F	PROCEDURAL ORDER
Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc.	("HECO") and the Division of Consumer
Advocacy of the Department of Commer	ce and Consumer Affairs (the "Consumer
Advocate"), hereby stipulate that the atta	ched Stipulated Procedural Order is mutually
acceptable to each respective party.	
DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii,Fo	ebruary 13, 2004 .
HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.	DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY
William A. Bonnet	Chryl S. Kikuta Cheryl S. Kikuta
Its Vice President	Its Acting Executive Director

OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of)
HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.) Docket No. 02-0391
For Approval of Changes in its)
Depreciation Rates and Approval of)
Vintage Amortization Accounting.)
)

STIPULATED PROCEDURAL ORDER

On April 14, 2003, Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. ("HECO") and the Division of Consumer Advocacy of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs ("Consumer Advocate"), (collectively, the "Parties"), submitted a Stipulated Prehearing Order to the Commission for this docket. On April 29, 2003, the Commission issued Stipulated Prehearing Order No. 20162 ("SPO No. 20162") which established, among other things, the schedule of proceedings for this docket.

On November 5, 2003, the Parties requested a modification of the procedural schedule for the remainder of the proceeding. The remaining procedural steps, at the time of request, were the filing of Simultaneous Statements of Position and the filing of Reply Statements of Position. By Order No. 20638, filed November 14, 2003, the Commission approved the Parties' request and amended the schedule of proceedings for this docket. Pursuant to Order No. 20638, in lieu of filing Statements of Position and Reply Statements of Position, the Parties were to notify the Commission on December 22, 2003

either that a settlement agreement had been reached, or that a settlement agreement could not be reached and that an evidentiary hearing was requested. If a settlement had been reached, the Parties were to file on December 22, 2003, a joint motion for approval of the settlement agreement. If the Parties requested an evidentiary hearing, it would commence on February 4, 2004.

On December 22, 2003, the Parties requested an extension of time until January 16, 2004, to advise the Commission of the outcome of their settlement discussions. The Parties indicated that they had been in discussions regarding the differences in position in the docket and require additional time to determine if a settlement agreement could be reached. On January 8, 2004, the Commission filed Order No. 20745 approving the Parties' joint request for an extension of time until January 16, 2004.

On January 16, 2004, the Parties requested a further extension of time until February 4, 2004. The Parties also requested the Commission to cancel the evidentiary hearing scheduled to begin on February 4, 2004, and advised the Commission that they would request a new hearing date on February 4, 2004, if a hearing was necessary. On January 27, 2004, the Commission filed Order No. 20778 approving the Parties' joint request. On February 4, 2004, the Parties notified the Commission that they were close to reaching a settlement agreement and requested an extension of time until February 13, 2004 to finalize the documentation of the settlement agreement.

HECO and the Consumer Advocate are working on documenting the agreement, however, additional time is required to complete the documentation. HECO and the

Consumer Advocate request a further extension of time until February 27, 2004 to submit the settlement agreement.

Hawaii Administrative Rules §6-61-23(a)(1) provides the Commission with the discretion, for good cause shown, to enlarge a period by which a required act must be completed, if a written request is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed. The Commission finds good cause in this instance to enlarge the deadline (from February 4, 2004 to February 27, 2004) to comply with Order No. 20778 and the request is granted and so ordered.

DONE at Honolulu, Hawaii, this 20th day of February , 2004.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

Carlito P. Caliboso, Chairman

he H. Kimura, Commissioner

Janet E. Kawelo, Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Commission Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy of the foregoing Stipulated Procedural Order No. 20810 upon the following parties, by causing a copy hereof to be mailed, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to each such party.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY 335 Merchant Street, Room 326 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

WILLIAM A. BONNET VICE PRESIDENT, GOVERNMENT AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC. P. O. Box 2750 Honolulu, HI 96840-0001

THOMAS W. WILLIAMS, JR., ESQ. GOODSILL ANDERSON QUINN & STIFEL 1800 Alii Place 1099 Alakea Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

ERNEST T. SHIRAKI CONTROLLER HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC. P.O. Box 2750 Honolulu, HI 96840-0001

Furn Digrol.

Karen Higashi

DATED: February 20, 2004